R.7.166/92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

S.R. 768 of 1900.

1902-03.
(S.R.)

File No. 269.

Serial Nos. 1—13

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 13

M. ~~Part~~ B for Sept, 1904

SUBJECT.

Amendments to the rules relating to the Inamdars' claim to Toddy Revenue

---

Ordered

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

SECTION SR
FILE No. 166-92
SERIAL No.

My dear Mr Thomas

About Mr Lawrence's
& the interpretation
of Rule 13 in Govt proc
proc & 2/8/01 No 2674-83

R F. 166-92

sep Rev file
No 9-of 01
sl 1.

(issuing rules for settling
the Inamdars' claims &
toddy-revenue), I am
desired to request
you to kindly work
out the results for
~~any~~ any hypothetical case
assuming all the
data required for
that Case.

Yours
Lee

12/8/08

A explanation
terms "Govt
"Govt person"
in the rule and

I may add that the difficulty experienced is about the exact significance to be attached to Govt revenue, Govt Population, & and village population in working out the rule of proportion given. Does Govt Population ~~mean~~ mean that of the whole State or of any smaller unit of area? What does Govt revenue mean — of what area composed of what elements exactly? Is this Calculation to be made for every year separately?

I remain
Yours Sincerely

~~Notes~~ (1) 2

Sen. I of R. 7. 166-92 Voli

I put up herewith a Rule proposing subsidiary machinery for the settlement of Standard claims & todays reserve, & the 2nd Con the reserves called for.

2) At the risk being considered tiresome, I beg again to draw attention to the obscurity of Rule 13 and think that the rule may be elucidated in the present proc- As I don't understand

the Rule, I have left
it to the Collector
3) To explain
any difficulty, he has
to take an Average
for a period of 5 years
for the purpose of
ascertaining the Income
according to the Census
of 1901. The population
of the Taluk (in which the
Municipality is situated)
& of the City is 50,000
& 500,000 respectively

In applying Rule 13 to the case
~~that~~ case, I do not know
is what area is taken as the
the term "Municipal population refers
& further what precisely is
meant by "Municipal Income"
does "Municipal Income" mean

(3) 5 3

the toddy shop-rent and tree-tax realised in the taluk or District or only tree-tax?

Or against the tree-tax on the 50 trees?

The meaning of the rule would be perfectly clear under the toddy system as prevailed prior to 1892-93 when the toddy contract of entire taluks & Districts was sold and toddy revenue was not analysed into its several factors

17/5/03

Sec?

There is much in what you say at but the difficulty of interpreting this rule (13). But in cases already decided, can you make out how it has been actually interpreted?

IRSO, please put up a file or two as precedents.

Para 2 may be amplified both advantage by stating the possible cases & by referring to rules ~~as~~ by numbers. This may remind me

If we cannot find a solution for rule 13 by cases already decided, we shall have to suggest a clearer rule. As I did not deal with the framing of these rules directly, I do not know what the meaning exactly was.

HWS
9/5/73

Subd

In no cases that have been hitherto decided the rule 13 has been interpreted in any particular manner In some cases the claim have been admitted under rule 13 - saying nothing about the applicability or interpretation of the rule.

(5) 7 4

The 1st para says that
in no case has Rule 13
been interpreted in any
particular manner. It
is found from the
correspondence that the
Rules 5 2/8/07
that the rule was
first mentioned by the
Excise Com[r] in their
letter 5 6/9/00 (Pp 34 of
the printed Correspondence)
and is also refd to in para 4 + 6 of the letter
The Excise Com[r] may
therefore be asked to
work out - on the basis
any real or hypothetical
Case or the Case
refd to by me

x I have also refd to
the original file but no
more light is derivable
therefrom than from the
printed file

A demi official

Perhaps the 2nd Councillor
+ the Dewan may solve
without such Consultation.
However Kindly send a d.o. letter
to the Sec. C— which
may issue after appl— by the C— d
Dewan. 11/5/03

9/5/03

, have again carefully read
the whole correspondence
as well as the proceedings of
investigating officers under
Rule 13. x No investigating
officer has gone to the length
of working out the results
under Rule 13 in any case.

A Mail is coming

12/5/03

submitted to the Secy. —
through the 2nd Secretary —

13/5/03

x I have seen that
the asst Comr has enquired
into the cases in Bombay
& worked out the
results in some cases

22/5/03

Ex. 141
15-5-03
camp coty.

Would discuss this question
with me after I return to
Bangalore — C.

16/5/03

Before writing the above I
have myself carefully studied
the case — I can
say from experience that
the reports submitted
by the investigating officers
are in many cases far from
satisfactory — Instructions
of the kind proposed in the

Reports — are sent
pursuing me C. Bangalore
from Mr C. Bangalore
Rec'd of Bangalore not
enclosed but Mr
may supply the

Secretarial draft are
therefore necessary; and I
approve of them.

Rule 13 is unfortunately
somewhat obscurely
worded. I have therefore
added a para suggesting
the adoption of a
more intelligible wording.

A DO. to the Excise
Commissioner is in my
opinion not necessary.
If I understand Rule 13,
it means this: "Suppose
Village A is entitled to
Excise revenue. It has
been but no shop. What
is the Zamindar to get?
He could only give him
a lump sum grant. How
is that grant to be regulated?
It is in this wise;

B a Govt. Village
has a population of
1000. Its Excise revenue
is Rs 500. A an Inam
Village entitled to Excise
revenue but which has
no shop but only liquor
has a population of
500. The Zamindar
is entitled to Rs 250 under
a rule of proportion in
the absence of a better
rule which under the
circumstances this
difficult to lay down
for want of a shop (this
Inamdar is entitled not

realized, or is more or less
than the income from the
licensed to be trafficked. But
all the same a right to
excise revenue in this case
carries with it a right to a
certain payment. Hence
the pub framed in general terms
and which has for its
object the laying down of
no instructions which could be
& easily carried out. This is
how I understand the
rule but before we
what the Excise Commissioners
have to say regarding its
interpretation and elucidation
Greenwood
17/5/03

Office note Continued

The draft as approved
by the 2nd Councillor
the Deputy was issued
yesterday. The Press Copy
also was sent this noon.

Now there has been a
representation from the
Excise Comm. with ref. to
this Office request in certain

no 638-47 of 28/5/03
R4.166-12

no 7229 of 27/28/5/13
487
pre-ref.

Claims to ascertain perfect
the amounts due to the mun-
dans for past years under
the different systems, that it
is not possible to ascertain
these amounts before the exam-
of the records by Court that
it should be left to the District Officers
to calculate & arrive at the
correct amount due to them
when the payts are made
~~by~~ such amounts are being
first perfected to the Ecclesiastical Comm
& his sanction obtained therefor
and that he has issued a Circular
order to all the Distts to this
effect.

As in the majority of cases
investigated by the said Comm
their findings are incorrect
& the rules applied by them are
also incorrect, it follows
that the Claims are allowed by Court
different rules — In such cases
the amounts given will have
likewise to be ~~corrected~~ revised —
The Ecclesiastical Commissioners
may therefore be accepted

If this is approved I think the set of subsidiary instructions issued will have to be modified.

Salad.

Tsre

29/5/03.

The rule of 2nd August 1901 were framed "for the adjustment of the several items of revenue from toddy" due to Inamdars from 1892-93. The reasons assigned by the Dy Com. Mysore Dn for not entering in the Calendar the amount payable to the Inamdars (viz that amildars & Excise Inspectors have not kept correct accounts & that it is difficult to get correct figures) are such that Govt will be justified in

insisting on the subsidiary
instructions already issued being
strictly carried out. It may be
that even the progress in the
settlement of the claims will be
slow but the settlement will
be thorough. The Govt. will
then have an idea of the demand on the
Treasury on account of these payments
2) The reasons assigned by the

Dy Comr, Myore Dt & repd by
above are not very creditable
to the Excise Dept. If the
Excise Dept cannot supply the
precise figures under the stimulus
of a call from Govt, there is not
much hope of it being able
to settle these claims once that
stimulus is withdrawn. Moreover,
the Asst Comr who settles these

claims in the Taxation &c
did not find much difficulty
in entering the precise amounts
I can readily admit that the
work is by no means easy but
nothing is gained by shirking or postponing
the more difficult portion
of the work. viz the calculation
of the amounts payable to the Drawbacks
The question as to whether a particular
Drawback is or is not entitled to the Excise revenue
has to daily been brought to a
large extent disposed of previously
by the Exam Dept. and the
investigating officers cannot claim
any great credit for settling this
question without proceeding to calculate
the amounts payable to the Drawbacks.

3). If any demonstration were required
of the correct way in which a
Dept. conducts works when

there is no presume point above,
I may instance the case
of an Inam (held at the
last settlement) in Honnali taluk
in which the Survey & Inam
Dept took 21 years from the
date of the Inam settlement &
16 years from the date of
the Survey settlement to
calculate the excess in
a minor Inam & to bring
it under assessment (or Khalsa)
The Inamdars naturally pleaded,
when this assessment was
first demanded, that the whole
levy was illegal & was hence
deemed to be ten
4) In the present case the
evil apprehended is different
The Inamdars are now

1852 Commissioners

keeping quiet as they are under
the impression that payments
will soon be made to them
But when once it is realized
that the present enquiry extends
only to the question as to whether
they are entitled to the Excise
revenue or not and that another
enquiry will be conducted
as to the amounts due to
them, I am afraid the Govt
will be flooded with petns from
the disappointed Mirasdars

Sd 7/6/03

I endorse every word of
Mr. Ayyarajangar's note. I think
we should insist on final reports
being submitted. It would be
a farce if all this trouble is taken merely
for obtaining a bare record of 'entitled'
or 'not entitled'. HS
14/6/03

Ex 177
15-6-03

The Dewan through the
2nd Councillor

(15) 7

I am of the same opinion.
The enquiry should be
thorough and the report
complete. The materials
furnished should be
such as to enable the
Govt to pass finally orders
on all points once for
all. In this view I
think there should be
no reservation of
jurisdiction for subsequent
enquiry or adjudication either by
the Dy Comr or by the Railway authorities
Excise Comr. The instructions are
clear & there ought to be no difficulty
in sending up reports in
accordance therewith.

16/6/03

0.2565
Silver Chain and Wax seal
on a round Wax seal
Copper Wire
25.VI.03

10869
16-6-03.

Early
27/6/03

Issued
20/6/03

Compared
29/6/03

Office Note Continued

A doubt has arisen as to the interpretation of Workmen's Rule 13 in G.O. No 2074-83 / R4.166-92 of 2/8/01 the Deputy Commr was requested in para 4 of G.O No 638-47 / R4.166.92 of 28/5/03 to state if any thing in wording should be modified so as to make it more intelligible with reference to this G.O. Commr requests to be informed in what respect Rule 13 in q.v. is not clear — It is noted that the O.C. which is in the file of vehicle was [illegible] to the Deputy Commr before the order of 28th May 03 was issued, may now be sent to him —

Submitted to Secy GM 3/7/03

Secy 4/7/03 Why not an official reply — please draft one HM 6/7/03

6/7/03

Draft submitted Secy 7/7/03

H Dr C & Co. to 638-47 / R1.166-97 of 28/5/03 it was made obligatory on the part of the investigating officer to enter the accounts due to the various for particulars which ref. to this the C-Comr explained some difficulty in carrying it out & ref. to a Circular issued by him to the Dy. Comrs of Dists. Mr Allen wanted to see copy of it. The same is granted with the C Comrs letter no 338/8 of 10/7/03 & is herewith noted.

Mr Allen file containing a note re the disposal of Comrs Miscel-bel-proc before the issue of the order of 28th May 03 is also perused

True 13/7/03. The circular was issued in Feb & it will be interesting to know if refund bills have been received in the Excise Dist's offices

under this circular & if so in
how many cases. My difficulty
has been that it is not conceivable
how account which do not exist
at the time of the ass[t] Com[r]'s
enquiry can spring up after
when refund bills are prepared

See? This inquiry may be made after we have
disposed of the Com Cases
submitted to the Dewan.

Hr
18/7/3

The Dewan (our Secretary) is on the
office at 2 PM tomorrow - I shall
discuss the question with him and
then record my opinion - C.
19/7/03

Rd
30.7.03
(146)

03472

Mr. Secretary, I submit that I may be
permitted to take up this matter
on Monday next - I shall then
be in a position to give my opinion
on the case & of course I shall
be glad to discuss the matter
with you.
1.8.03

Draw
6/8

There ought to be a simple enquiry &
it should be completed -
I do not agree that the question
of payment should alone be enquired
into in the first instance & reported
upon, and that on receipt of
your orders admitting or rejecting
the claim the question of pay-
ment should subsequently
be gone into -
30/7/03

11864
31.7.03

21 (19)

Submitted

Even in cases of rejection of the right to Toddy Revenue free farm rent or free rent has been awarded in many cases under rules 4, and 17 of Govt Proc.

C No 2074-83 / R.7.166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

Draft ready 4/8/03

Dewan through the 2d Councillor

Draft 7/8

I agree. In all cases, whether of admission or rejection in which payments have been made or adjusted the requisite information should be furnished in the report submitting the record of enquiry — 9-8-03

Draft 10/8

12097/10.8.03

037/25

11.VI.11

Draft 12/8

Draft 13/8

Draft-Sicca Wire Seal. Draft 14/8

True 13/8/03

(20)

Note Continued

Please see para 16 of the note, para 4 of C.O. dt 28/5/03 and Flag A docket no. 11 – Rt. 166-92 dt 19.6.03 Flag B to the effect that rule 13 contained in C.O. no 2074-83/Rt. 166-92 dt 2/8/01 -

The Hon'ble Commissioner proposes an amendment of the said rule – a copy of the rules of 2/8/01 embodying therein the proposed amendment are enclosed.

The amendment now proposed removes the above disputes and may be adopted. Sub'd Worthy Dear 24/8/03

Hon'ble Commissioner 24/8/03

Sub'd Note for consideration Dear 27/8

Council 27/8

I agree - The amendment proposed is an improvement upon the present rule & is based on good grounds, & may accordingly be approved - C

Sub'd Note Dewan Dear 28/8/03

Council 30.VIII.03

Dear 1/9

12585 Tumpore 30.8.03

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

F

‡ **Subject.** Excise Commr's letter no. 7376/501 dt 6th June 1903.

Payment of toddy revenue to the inamdars in cases of claims in which final orders have been passed by Court

* **Note.** In the letter quoted above the Excise Comr solicits orders of Court as to whether in cases of alienated villages in which final orders have been passed by Court refunding toddy revenue, refund bills showing the amounts payable to them, the amounts if any, advanced and the balance due should be submitted to Court for sanction, or whether they should be adjusted in communication with

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or cause.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

the Consolidation.

In many of the Cases hitherto disposed of the accounts to amended are not enclosed, in the Calendars submitted to Court. while in others though a sum be enclosed in each Case already certified to its accuracy or Correctness - those & other similar Cases pertaining bills in respect of each Case may be certified to be submitted to Court. This will of Course involve additional work on the branch which is already overworked. But in respect of Cases the Calendars of which are prepared in accordance with the instructions issued in C.C. no 638-47 / 24-166-92 A

dated 28th. March the pertinent bills

may be dispensed with.
Or in order to follow a uniformity of procedure the refund bills may be called for ~~or dispensed with~~ in all cases ~~or dispensed with~~ -

The question will be simple when final orders are passed in all the Cases - A Consolidated bill for each District or Taluk with individual bills may be obtained & paneled -

Subd. to Secty

Issue
13/6/03

I think the work may be left to the Excise Comr himself, only doubtful cases being subd to Govt. Draft subd.

16/6/03

In cases in which the amounts have been mentioned in awards already, the bills need not come up to Govt. In others, they should

be sent up. Draft accdgly,
& submit (after check in
to Dept'l). Thx.

17/6/3

(Draft enclosed)

accdgly: Send to Pl. Dept. of Com to Secy
6/19/03

The draft, which is
in accordance with
Sec. 100 of the Account
Code may come from the
Exam Br submitted to the
Secy

22/6/03

28

(25)

EXTRACT FROM THE ~~JOURNAL~~ Proceedings OF THE 40th MEETING OF THE COUNCIL of His Highness the Maha Raja held at Bangalore on Tuesday ~~DATED~~ the 8th Septr 1903.

~~RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:—~~

11. Subject—(Clause ii of Schedule.)

Amendment of Rule 13 of the Rules for the adjustment of the several items of revenue from toddy connected with alienated villages.

Opinions of the Dewan and Councillors.

The following amended rule may be sanctioned

"Where an Inam village is entitled to Excise revenue but has only toddy yielding trees, from which the proprietor used to derive revenue from Govt. contractors under the farming system, it should get an annual lumpsum grant calculated, and fixed, once for all, on the population

of the village according to the most recent Census. As the Govt. Excise revenue of the ~~Taluk~~ in which the alienated village is situated, is to the population of the whole Taluk excluding the population of the Inam village, so should the revenue of the alienated village, be in relation to the population of the Village".

(Signed) P.N. Krishna Murti
Dewan of Mysore

Secretary

C71

30

2 8

Matter for Council Meeting

Subject.

Amendment of Rule 13 of the Rules for the adjustment of the Several items of revenue from toddy Connected with alienated villages.

Circulated to Councillors.

By Order,
Secretary.

2-9-1903

Name.	Remarks by the Councillor.
V. P. Madhava Rao, Esq., C.I.E., First Councillor. Sent on 2.9.03 Received on 2-9-03 night.	no remarks 3/9/1903
C. Sreenivasiengar, Esq Second Councillor. Sent on 3/9/3 Received on 3-9-03. night	Seen 3/9/03

Seen by
Submitted to the Dewan
4/9/3

MGCPB—300—28-11-02.

Submitted to His Highness.

C

The existing rule & what doubts regarding the proper manner to be taken into account when striking the proportion To remove it, the population of the taluq excluding that of the main village in question has been decided to be adopted The altered rule has passed through the Council and is now presented.

E

12. IX. 03

RJ 166-92 Lr. D29

32

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

12909/11.9.03 999

Subject.

Amendment of Rule 13 of the Rules for the adjustment of the several items of revenue from toddy connected with alienated villages.

1087

Order.

Sanctioned

KRW

16/9/03

ordered accordingly

16.IX.03

Gpo.20-27483 9/21/9/03

RJ 166-92 issued

Letter No 8769/3469 dt 23/25.5.04 suggesting certain amendments to Rule 13 regarding the adjustment of several items of Toddy Rev., as amended by Govt Proceedings No 274-83 R.T. 166-92 dt 21.9.03

Govt

The amendments proposed are :-

(1) Fixing the period the Govt. Excise Rev. of which should be taken to calculate the lump sum grant payable to the Inamdar

(2) Restricting the meaning of the word "Excise Revenue" to revenue derived from toddy and excluding the other items of Excise revenue by the addition of the words "from toddy" after the words Excise Revenue in the rule

(3) Defining the word "village" so as to exclude settled "released inams" which have been given separate title-deeds.

The additions proposed appear to be necessary.

Mr. 1.6.04 Subd & the Secy

made 2.6.04

Submitted to the Dewan through the 1st Secretary 17/6/04

1647/18-6-04.

Let me see the Rules with the additions proposed incorporated therein - 19-6-04.

To file Branch JMD 21.6.1904 - made 21.6.1904

as ordered by the 1st Commissr

Draft making the necessary alterations
to rules 1 & 13 according to the Excise
Commrs view put up.

1/7/04. Submitted to the Secy
mwk
2/7/04

Laid before the 1st Commrs
for
5.7.04.
mwk
7.7.04

"Village" in these Rules does not of
course include "excluded
Areas". This is proposed to
be made clear.

The ~~mode~~ basis of calcula-
tion proposed seems suitable.

"From Caddy" in Rule 13
is under stood in the general
other Rules however it has been
expressly mentioned - The omission
may be rectified in Rule 13
as recommended, as also in
Rules 19 & 25.

The Rules may be
amended as above.

4/7/04

I agree. Let the
Rules be amended
accordingly
16.VII.04

I approve the draft
Rules as amended

22/7

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R File No. 166 of ~~190~~ 1892

Serial No.	
	The amendment of Rule 13 alone is given as the Subject in the record of the Case Submitted for the orders of H.H the Maharaja. But the addition by Rules 1, 19 + 25 also appear to have been sanctioned. The definition of "Village" is an addition by Rule 1. The introduction of the words "from Toddy" after "Excise Revenue" in Rules 19+25 is on the Suggestion of the 1st Councillor. The draft now Submitted provides for all these Changes.

Subd. to the Secy
Mum
30-8-04

Draft passed
&
3.8.1904
Mum
31/8/04

To The Excise Comm.
Complr
2 Dy Comrs & DS.
No. 1650-9 dt 9/9/04

Sanctions certain amendments to the rules in G.O. No. ~~1665~~ 2074-83 dt 2/8/9
RA-166-92

B B

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

390

33

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council matter for disposal by Circulation.

Subject.

Amendment of Rule 13 of the Rules relating to the Settlement of claims of Inamdars to the Toddy Revenue of their villages, 1st by fixing the no of years the Excise Rev: of which should be taken in determining the lump sum grant payable to them and 2nd by defining the words "Excise Revenue" to mean only toddy revenue; and addition of a clause to Section 1 of the Rules to the effect that the Alienated villages do not include the excluded minor Inams Situated therein, for purposes of these rules -

Circulated to Councillors.

Draft

21-7-1904

By Order,

Secretary.

---

1. Seen by the First Councillor and opinion recorded.

No 13 / 20-7-04

2. Rao Bahadur C. Madiah Esqr Second Councillor -

Submitted to the Diwan Sahib for final order. May the Amendments be published

22/7/1904

Draft 23/7

G. R. S. 16

469/25.VII.04

Submitted to His Highness.

The present Rule 13 relating to the settlement of the claims of holders of Inam villages to the toddy revenue of their villages, prescribes the grant to them of an annual lump-sum calculated and fixed once for all on the population of the villages according to the most recent census, when the villages had only toddy-yielding trees from which the proprietors entitled to Excise revenue were deriving income from Government contractors under the farming system, which prevailed for some years before the present Tree-tax system was introduced. The rule, however, does not fix the year or years, the Government Excise revenue of which should be taken in determining the lump-sum grants payable to Inamdars, and the Excise Commissioner has proposed that the average of the Toddy revenue of the Taluk in which the villages are situated in the years from 1892-93 to 1896-97, during which period the farming system was in force, may be adopted for purposes of calculation. The Council is in favour of adopting this amendment, and it may be sanctioned.

(Paper under enclosure)

The Excise Commissioner has ~~also~~ proposed another amendment and an addition, which have also passed through the Council, and they may also be approved. For purposes of settling the claims of Inamdars, the words "Excise revenue" may be restricted to mean, in rule 13, revenue derived from only toddy, as the rules are intended not to apply to revenue

under arrack and drugs.

The addition proposed is under Section I, Preliminary, and excludes from the Inamdar's claim all toddy trees standing on excluded minor Inams situated in an alienated village and over which the proprietors of the village have no control.

A printed copy of the rules with the proposed amendments and addition is appended.

6.VIII.04

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

DATE 6.8.04
NO. 104.

Subject.

Amendment of Rule 13 of the rules relating to the settlement of claims of Inamdars to the Toddy Revenue of their villages.

Order.

Sanctioned

~~K.R.M~~

11/8/04

Ordinary
Mail
13.VIII.04

Branch II
Draft. order
a
15.8.1904
Mail
16/8/04

DATE 15.8.04
NO. 109.

Draft Submd.
Mail
19/8

19/8

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R File No. 166 of 1892

Serial No.	
	Do — Nee Excise Commr, & Comptr. & Dy Commrs of Dts.
	No. 1650-9 & the 19/9/04.
	Sanctions the amendments proposed to the rules issued in G.O. no 2074-83 R 7166-92 dt/the 2/8/01.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

SECTION SR
FILE No. 166-92
SER'AL No. 1

(2) 511

Proc of the Govt of
H H the Maharaja of
Mysore.

Read again

Govt proc 5 2/8/07 No
2074-83 among rules
RF 166-92
for the settlement of
the claims of the holders
of alienated villages &
the Toddy revenue of
these villages

No 638-47
RF 166-92
D 28/5/03

orders

As it has been found that
Some of the reports Govt
to Govt under rule 28
of the proc read above
have not been prepared
~~Govt having~~

in conformity with the rule
and as there is reason
to believe that the
investigating officer have
in some cases mis-understood
the rules, the Board
resolved to issue the
following instructions

1). The finding under
rule 18 should clearly
specify the claim of
Toddy revenue (excise
revenue, tree-rent or both)
and the holder of the
amended village is entitled
to be entitled. Before
recording a finding allowing
the claim of the holder
to excise revenue, the investigating

office has to satisfy
himself that the
excise revenue has
been alienated by competent
authority & that the
holder has been in
enjoyment of the
excise revenue for 12
years preceding 1892-93
Similarly before disallowing
any claim to excise
revenue, the investigating
officer must ascertain from
and records
in spite of absence of
title, there has been
an uninterrupted
enjoyment of the excise
revenue for a period of
not less than 5-8 years
preceding 1892-93

If the income is exempt, reserve is allowed, the interesting point is carried back the amount payable per partners of the wilderd the amended village under the three different systems of taxation administration and must assign each case its particular place in each system.

Note It will be seen that there are 2 possible cases under the farming system (rules 2 & 3) 3 possible cases under the preliminary tax system & 7 possible (rules 5 & 7)

Case, under the
Free-tax System
3) When the claim to
exemption is
disallowed, the investigating
officer should record
the amounts payable
for past years by the
holder of the alienated
village under each
of the three systems
and refer each case
to its proper place
in the third system

(Rules 15)

(Rules 16 & 17)

4) Rule 13 does not seem
to be sufficiently clear.
The Circle Officer would be
good enough to state
how its working should
be modified so as to
make its meaning more
intelligible — C
17/5/03.

(Some explanation
about Rule 13
may be added
here -

7

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 28th May 1903

No. 638-47
R. F. 166-92

Issuing certain subsidiary instructions for the settlement of the claims of the holders of alienated villages to the toddy revenue of their villages.

SBP—6-6-03—400

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 28th May 1903.

READ AGAIN—

Government Proceedings dated 2nd August 1901, No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, issuing rules for the settlement of the claims of the holders of alienated villages to the toddy revenue of their villages.

No. 638-47—R. F. 166-92, DATED BANGALORE, 28TH MAY 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—As it has been found that some of the reports submitted to Government under Rule 28 of the Proceedings read above have not been prepared in conformity with the rules, and as there is reason to believe that the investigating officers have in some cases not understood the rules, the Government resolve to issue the following subsidiary instructions :—

1. The finding under Rule 18 should clearly specify the items of toddy revenue (excise revenue, tree-rent, or both) to which the holder of the alienated village is adjudged to be entitled. Before recording a finding allowing the claim of the holder to excise revenue, the investigating officer has to satisfy himself that the excise revenue has been alienated by competent authority and that the holder has been in enjoyment of the excise revenue for 12 years preceding 1892-93. Similarly before disallowing any claim to excise revenue, the investigating officer should ascertain and record if, in spite of the absence of title, there has been an uninterrupted enjoyment of excise revenue for a period of not less than 50 years preceding 1892-93.

2. When the claim to excise revenue is allowed, the investigating officer should carefully record the amounts payable for past years to the holder of the alienated village under the three different systems of toddy administration and must assign to each case its particular place in each system. It will be seen that there are two possible cases under the farming system (Rules 2 and 3), three possible cases under the preliminary tree-tax system (Rules 5 to 7), and seven possible cases under the tree-tax system (Rules 9 to 15).

3. When the claim to excise revenue is disallowed, the investigating officer should record the amounts payable for past years to the holder of the alienated village under each of the three systems and refer each case to its proper place in the third system (Rules 16 and 17).

4. Rule 13 does not seem to be sufficiently clear. The Excise Commissioner will be good enough to state if and how its wording should be modified, so as to make its meaning more intelligible.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C. V.

Ex 523 of 29/5/03

OFFICE OF THE
RECEIVED 12839
29 MAY 1903
MYSORE

1903.

From P. N. Krishna Rao Esq BA
Excise Commissioner,
Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq Asst. Sec.
Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 27th } May 1903.
Despatched 28th }
Received

General No. 7229
No. 487
Enclosure No. 1

Sir,

In reply to Secretary's nos. 629 Ex. T. 12-1901, 630 Ex. T. 12-1901 & 631 Ex. T. 12-1901 / Copy of dated 23rd instant, I submit a communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and explains why the amounts payable to Inamdars and amounts advanced to them are omitted in Calendars.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
P. N. Krishna Rao
Excise Commissioner,
Mysore

Ex 523 of 29/5/03

Sd/ with Office note re. the subsidiary instructions issued in C.C. no 638-47 dt. 16.6.92

28/5/03 The cases referred to in this will be sent separately on receipt of the records which have been called for

True
29.5.03

Ex in
29.5.03

Mysore

No 7229 Exc 1,
487

Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated Bangalore 27th May 1903.
28th

SECTION None

FILE NO.

SERIAL NO.

P. N. Kumaraja Esquire B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

K. V. Nanjundappa Esquire M.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your dockets Nos
629 Ex.T. 12-1901, 630 Ex.T. 12-1901 and 631 Ex.T. 12-1901, dated
23rd instant, I have the honor to
submit herewith for information —
Copy of letter No 93, dated 22nd January
1903, from the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, and to state that —
under the circumstances therein —
mentioned, I have issued a circular
to all the Deputy Commissioners —
instructing them that as soon as the —

final

final orders of Government are
received in each case, a Statement
showing the amounts due to the
Inamdar under the various systems
the amounts advanced, and the balance
payable to, or refundable by him,
should be prepared and submitted to
this Office for approval. This course
was adopted, as in several instances,
the calculations made in the Deputy
Commissioners' Offices under the different
Rules in Government Proceedings No.
2074-83 / R.T. 106-92, dated 2nd August 1901, were
found to be incorrect. Hence the omission
in the Calendars of the figures now
called for.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Excise Commissioner,
N. in Deputy.

Enclosure to No. 2

1902-03.



FROM H. Ramanujengar Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To P. N. Bunnaiya Esq
Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

Dated 22nd January 1903.

Despatched 26-1-03

Received

General No. 93
No.

Enclosure No. 38 files
in two bundles.

Forwards the records of
enquiry into the claims of
Inamdars to the toddy
Revenue.

No. 93

38 files sent in
2 bundles separately.

Deputy Commissioner's
Dated 22nd January

To

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith as per enclosed list, records of enquiry into the claims of the several Inamdars of this District to the Toddy Revenue of their respective villages.

Some of these records which had been once submitted, were returned to this Office with a request to specify the amounts payable to each Inamdar under the following systems, namely.

1. Farming System
2. Preliminary tree-tax system
3. Tree tax system

As

As these particulars are not available in this office, and as the accounts seem to have been not regularly maintained by the Shanbogs or Excise Inspectors, I anticipate that Considerable time will be lost in Correspondence before precise figures are furnished by the Taluk and Excise Officers. If I may be permitted to make the suggestion, each Shanbog's claim to toddy revenue may be finally settled as in the case of Hariharpur village in the Krishnarajpet taluk, leaving the amount actully due to him to be calculated according to Rules before making payments, and your instructions are solicited as to whether a Consolidated Statement shewing each Shanbog's dues after making necessary deductions, may accordingly be prepared and forwarded

to you for approval.

I have Etc.

(Signed) A. Ramanujiengar

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

"Copy"

[illegible]

for Excise Commissioner,

Mysore.

No 7376
501

Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated, Bangalore, 1st June 1903.

From

P. N. Krishnaiya Esquire B.A.
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundaiya Esquire M.A. Bar. at Law.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to request to be informed whether, in cases of alienated villages in which final orders have been passed by Government regarding toddy revenue, Refund bills showing the amounts payable to them, the amounts if any advanced, and the balance due, should be submitted to Government for sanction, or whether they should be adjusted in communication with the Comptroller.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
P. Krishnaiya
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Ex 5379 8/6/03.

SECTION

FILE No. 369

SERIAL No. 31

OFFICE OF THE
Regd 13139.
8th JUN. 1903
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

1903.

FROM C. [illegible] Esq. B.A.
Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq Asst. Sec.
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 1st
Despatched 6 } June. 1903.
Received

General No. 7376
No. 501
Enclosure No.

Request to be informed
whether in cases of alienated
villages in which final
orders have been passed,
Refund bills should be
submitted to Government
for sanction or whether they
should be adjusted in
Communication with the
Comptroller.

Query
8. 6. 03
Filed with records

19 No 7597/5-15

Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated, Bangalore 15th/16th Nov 1903.

From

B. N. Purnaiya Esquire B.A.
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

K. V. Nanjundayya Esquire Asst Dist.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Para 4 of Government Proceedings No 638-47/R.F. 166-92, dated 23rd May 1903, I have the honor to request the favor of being informed in what respect Rule 13 in Government Proceedings No 2074-83/R.F 166-92 dated 2nd August 1901, does not seem to be sufficiently clear.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Ex 558

GL: 13544

18-6-03.

To with office note

Con Secy

Rec'd 7/6/03

SECTION

FILE NO. 969 05

SUB-NO. 4

1903.

FROM V. Ramayya Esq BA.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

K. V. Ranjundayya Esq MA. BL.

Secretary to the Govt of H. H.

the Maharaja of Mysore.

General and Revenue Dept.

Dated 15th

Despatched 15 } June. 1903.

Received

General No. 797

No. 515.

Enclosure No.

With reference to Para 4

of Government Proceedings

No 638-47 / R.F. 166-92, dated 23rd May 1903,

request to be informed in

what respect Rule 13 in

Government Proceedings No.

2074-83 / R.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901,

does not seem to be sufficiently

clear.

R

17.6.03

Read 18/6/03

20 Read:- SL (5)

Letter no. 7376/501

dated 6th June 1903

from the Deputy Commr

in Mysore requesting

to be informed whether

in Cases of alienated

villages in which a

final order has

been passed by Court

respecting toddy

revenue, refund

bills showing the

amounts payable

to them, the amounts

if any, advanced,

should be submitted

to Court for sanction,

or whether they

should be adjusted

in Communication

with the Comptroller

Order thereon:-

No 760-1

RT 166-92

dy 24-6-03.

SECTION S.R

FILE NO. 269-02 ~~166-92~~

SERIAL NO. 5C

re the Inamdars' claims &

Rd 20-7-03

Rec
[illegible]

In Case in which
the accounts to be
been mentioned
Correctly in the Calendar
~~submitted~~ submitted by the Parties
need not Come
up to Court in
order they showed
be [illegible] by Court
for Sanction

22/6/23

Seen

To the Ecclesiastical Magistrate
the Commissioner Ecclesiastical.

Taken
19-6-23

92

Office Draft. (Docket.) 926

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Excise Branch.

SECTION S.O.
FILE No. ~~166-92~~ 992
SERIAL No. 6

To The Excise Commr in Mysore

Date of passing the draft 20/6/03.
Date of despatch do.

Regd. No. 753
File No. & date (if any) Ref. 166-92

No. of Encls.

With reference to his letter no. 7229/487 dy 27th may 1903, has the honor to request that the Excise Comr will be good enough to submit to Govt at an early date a Copy of the circular said to have been issued by him to all the Deputy Comrs for past drawn refunds (payment of

of lottery accounts
and to see members
to come to Cairo here
here [illegible] visit
[illegible]

PLC.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Mysore Court
Genl & Rev. Secretariat

Excise

Mysore ~~Dewan's Office~~,
Branch.

SECTION SR.
FILE NO. 166-92
SERIAL NO. 7

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Letter

To The Excise Comr
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft 9/7/03
Date of despatch 11/7/03

~~Regd.~~ No. 11
File No. & date (if any) Rt. 166-92. 10/7/03

No. of Encls.

His letter no. 7597/575 dy 15.6.03 requesting to be informed in what respect rule 13 contained in G.O. no. 2074-83/Rt.166-92 dy 2/8/01 does not seem to be sufficiently clear as observed in G.O. no. 638-47-Rt.166-92 dy 28/5/03

with reference to the above has the honor to state that the difficulty experienced is about the exact signification to be attached to the terms "Court pleader" & "Court

2

11/7/03

population" in working out the rule of proportion given. For instance does "Govt. pay" mean the total expenditure (totally) of the whole State or of any smaller unit of area (Taluk or District). Similarly does "Govt. population" mean the population of the State or of any smaller unit & is it to be considered as a constant quantity for all time or is it to be revised at every Census? What is the meaning of "once for all" occurring in the rule, when the amount payable to the Inamdar has to be calculated every year after ascertaining the expenditure of the year

9/7/03

9/7/03

Ex 23 of 11/7/03

MYSORE GOVT. GENERAL OFFICE 11 JUL. 1903

FROM J. N. Narasinga Ayya Esq. B.A.

Excise Commissioner to Mysore.

SECTION S.R. FILE No. 209-02 Ex. 7166-92 SER. No. 8

To K. V. Narjundayya Esq. M.A. Bar. at. Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 10th } July. 1903.
Despatched 11th }

Received

General No. 338

No. 8

Enclosure No./

In reply to Secretary's docket No 253 / Ex. 7166-92, dated 30th June 1903 submits a copy of the Excise Commissioner's office circular No 5003-9 / 202, dated 21st February 1903 calling from the Deputy Commissioners of Districts a memo showing the amounts payable to Inamdars on final orders of Government being passed in each case.

Recd & Filed
Exci
11 7 03

True
11/7/03

No 3,38 / 6
9

Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated Bangalore 10th July 1903.
11

From

P. N. Ramaiya Esquire B.A.
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your docket no $\frac{753}{\text{Ex. I } 166\text{-}92}$,
dated 30th June 1903, I have the honor to
submit herewith a copy of this office
circular no $\frac{5003\text{-}9}{202}$, dated 21st February 1903,
calling from the Deputy Commissioners of
Districts a memo showing the amounts
payable to Inamdars on final orders of
Government being passed in each case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

28

Enclosure to 28

1903

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Dated 21st
Despatched 23rd } February 1903
Received

General No. 4876
No. 136

Enclosure No.

Government Proceedings No. 332-4 Ex. 712-1901, dated 16th instant, deciding that the Jahagirdar of Sivasamudram Jahagir is entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy.

Has the honor to request that on the receipt of final orders from Government in each case, a Memo showing the amounts calculated

MGCPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

Calculated for payment under the several Rules in Government Proceedings No. 2074–83 / R7-161-92, dated 2nd August 1901, may be prepared and submitted to this Office for verification and approval.

(Signed) P. N. Purnaiya
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

No. 5063–9
202

Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated Bangalore 21st / 23rd February 1903

Official Memorandum.

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to other Deputy Commissioners for information and guidance.

(Signed) P. N. Purnaiya
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

"Copy"

L. Venkata Lingam 2nd
For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Ex 90 & 17-8-03

30



190

From C. N. Suryanarayya Esq. B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To M. V. Narjundayya Esq. M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

SECTION 20
FILE No. 269 59
166-92
SERIAL No. 9
1

Dated 8th August 1903.
Despatched 16th
Received

General No. 1531
No. 64

Enclosure No

Subject

With reference to the
Secretary's docket no 11
Ex-7 166-92
dated 10th instant, gives his
opinion as to how Rule 13
in Government Proceedings
no 2074-83
R-7 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901
may be modified so as to
make it clearer.

Exm
17.8.03

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02.

No 1531/64

Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated Bangalore 8th August 1903.

From

B. N. Buriaiya Esquire B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire Rit. Wil.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 11/Ex.7166-92, dated 10th instant, I have the honor to state that the terms "Government Revenue" and "Government population" in Rule 13, were intended to mean those of the Province. But it may be more fair to strike the percentage on the narrower area of the Taluk because local conditions are more similar and the proportion becomes more natural. As laid down in Rule 13, an annual lumpsum Grant

grant should be calculated and fixed once for all, on the population of the village according to the most recent census. It is not to be revised at every census. To make the rule clearer, it may be modified as follows:- "Where an Inam village is entitled to Excise Revenue, but has only toddy-yielding trees, from which the proprietor used to derive revenue from Government Contractors under the Farming system, it should get an annual lumpsum grant calculated, and fixed, once for all, on the population of the village according to the most recent census. As the Government Excise Revenue of the Taluk in which the alienated village is situated, is to the population of the whole Taluk excluding the population of the Inam village, so should the Revenue of the alienated village, be in relation to the population of

4

of the village.

As the toddy drawn from trees in the village, as well as its toddy drinking population primarily contribute to the Toddy Revenue of the Taluk, it is more equitable to strike the proportion on the population of the taluk than on that of the whole State. For the information of Government, the following alienated villages are illustrated, both with reference to the population and revenue of the whole State, and to those of the talukes concerned.

Taluk.	Village	Provincial population	Provincial Toddy Revenue	Inam Village population	Toddy Revenue payable to the Inamdar Rs — as — p
Bangalore.	Pantharapalya	5,49,800 :	16,56,680 ::	172 :	52 — 4 — 8
Kaulkunkalli.	Jarahu	do :	do ::	336 :	102 — 2 — 3
Chennapatna	Kudlur	do :	do ::	1508 :	458 — 6 — 7
Ingpore	Nachenahalli	do :	do ::	210 :	63 — 13 — 5
Chickmagalur	Belwadi and 11 villages	do :	do ::	2013 :	611 — 14 — 10

30.4%

Taluk	Village	Taluk population	Taluk Revenue excluding Inam village	Inam village population	Toddy Revenue payable to the Inamdar Rs — as — p
Bangalore	Pantharapalya	1,74,278 :	44,890 ::	172 :	44 — 4 — 10
Kaulkunkalli	Jarahu	83,241 :	35,834 (25.7%) ::	336 :	144 — 10 — 3
Chennapatna	Kudlur	1,13,119 :	33,756 (43.0%) ::	1508 :	450 — 0 — 1
Ingpore	Nachenahalli	1,33,630 :	1,10,702 (29.8%) ::	210 :	173 — 15 — 6
Chickmagalur	Belwadi and 11 other villages	82,052 :	20,000 (82.8%) ::	2013 :	490 — 10 —

(24.4%)

If the Toddy Revenue payable to an Inam village is calculated on the revenue and population of the State, it gives one uniform fixed percentage namely 30.4%, that is, if it consists of 100 people, it gets Rs 30.4, and proportionately for more or less number of people in the village.

If the Toddy Revenue payable to an Inam village is calculated on the population and revenue of the Taluk in which such village is situated, it gives a different percentage dependent on the Toddy Revenue and population of the Taluk.

(1) The Bangalore Taluk with a population of 174.278 and Toddy Revenue of Rs 44.890 is able to give 25.7% to the Inam village of Pantharapalya.

5

(2) The Kankanhalli taluk with a population of 83.241 and Toddy Revenue of Rs 35.834 is able to give 43% to the Inam

Inam village & Taraba and so on

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

[signature]

Excise commissioner.

[illegible] in haste.

36

5110

10

Office Draft.

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

Special Branch

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

File No. (if any) and its date—

SECTION S. R.

FILE No. 969-02

10

Read: Letter No 338/8, Dated 4th July 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting copy of his circular No 5003-9/202 Dated 21st Feb 1903, wherein he requested the Deputy Commissioners of Districts to send up a statement, as each case was decided by Govt, showing the account payable to Inamdars towards Excise Revenue.

Order:

No 159
Dated: R7 166-92
20/8/03

Exc. Cl
Issue up personally
17/8/03
Dow
Issued
7/V

1. The Excise Commissioner's attention is invited to Govt Proceedings No 2074-83/R7 166-92, Dated 2nd August 1901, and also to Govt Circ. No 638-47/R.7.166-92 Dated 28th May 1903, which unmistakeably show that there ought to be only a simple but complete Enquiry in every case relating to the claim to Toddy Revenue of Inam villages.

Recd 21/8/03

2. The Govt are not in favour of

the claim alone being enquired into in the first instance, and ~~deferring~~ the assessment of the amounts due to Inamdars being deferred till the resumption of their right to Excess Revenue by the Govt.

3. ~~The Govt therefore, desire to~~ It should therefore be impressed on the minds of all the Inspecting officers that their enquiry should be thorough, and their reports complete, so that the Govt may be enabled to pass final orders once for all on all the points requiring their decision. The Rules framed in Govt. Proc. No. 2074-83 R. 7 166-92 Dated 2 Nov 1904, ~~and~~ must be ~~be clear when they are~~ read with the subsidiary instructions

38

issued by them in Price-No 638-47 / 166-92,
Dated 28th May 03; and there ought not
to be any difficulty in sending us
Exhaustive reports for the orders of the
Govt

Secy 18/8/03

Secy
11/8

To
The Excise Commr in Mysore

39

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Excise Branch.

Office Draft. (12)

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

File No. (if any) and its date—

SECTION 502-

FILE NO. 269-02

SERIAL NO. 131

* S.R. 9-01-1

Read:

Correspondence endg with letter no 1531/64 dy 8.8.14 from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore Submitting for the approval of Govt a modification of Rule 13 Contained in Govt Procs no 2074-83/R7 166-92 dy 2.8.1901, So as to make it clearer

Order: 2074-83/R7.166-92 dy 21.9.03.

The modification proposed by the Excise Commissioner is approved.

~~The Rules with marked corrections~~ as this modified

The Rule will ~~with~~ stand as follows:—

"Where an Inam village is entitled to Excise revenue, but has only toddy yielding trees, from which the proprietor used to derive

revenue from Govt Contractors under the Farming System, it should get an annual ~~[illegible]~~ lump sum grant calculated, and fixed, once for all, on the population of the village according to the most recent Census. As the Govt Excise revenue of the Taluk in which the alienated village is situated, is to the population of the whole Taluk excluding the population of the Inam village, so should the revenue, of the alienated village, ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ be in relation to the population of the village"

1/1/1913

Revelay.

1915

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore
The Comptroller Mysore Government
The Deputy Commissioner of District.

Print

certainly

1/1/1913

Previous reference referred to in the paper under disposal

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 21st September 1903.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1531—64, dated 8th August 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting for the approval of Government a modification of Rule 13 contained in G. O. No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901, so as to make it clearer.

No. 274-83—R. F. 166-92, DATED BANGALORE, 21ST SEPTEMBER 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The modification proposed by the Excise Commissioner is approved.

The Rule as thus modified will stand as follows :—

"Where an Inam village is entitled to Excise revenue, but has only toddy-yielding trees, from which the proprietor used to derive revenue from Government contractors under the farming system, it should get an annual lump-sum grant calculated, and fixed once for all, on the population of the village according to the most recent Census. As the Government Excise revenue of the taluk in which the alienated village is situated, is to the population of the whole taluk *excluding* the population of the Inam village, so should the revenue of the alienated village be in relation to the population of the village."

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C.V.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 21st September 1903

No. 274-83
R. F. 166-92

Approving of the modification of Rule 13 contained in G. O. No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901, regarding the adjustment of several items of toddy revenue connected with alienated villages.

SBP—7-10-03—300

Letter

Excise Commissioners office

No. 8769/349 Bangalore dated 23/25 1904

23/8/04

From

P. N. Ramaiya Esquire, B.A.,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To

L. Anantasami Iyengar Esquire B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

in the Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to the Government Proceedings No. 274-83 / R.F. 166-92 dated 21st September last amending the Rule 13 regarding the adjustment of several items of Toddy Revenue connected with alienated villages, I have the honour to state that the Rule referred to does not fix the year or years the Government Excise revenue of

1 Pwd 26/5

(1) Ex 459 26/5/04

Ex

19

From L. Fermaige Esquire B
Executive Commissioner
in Mysore

To L. Anantasami Row Esq. B
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mys
General and Revenue Departme

Dated 23rd }
Despatched 25 } May 1904

Received

General No. 8769
No.

Enclosure No.

Subject

Suggesting certain alterations
to Rule 3 regarding the adjust
-ment of sewerage charges of Bodday
Revenue Amended
Proceedings No. 274-83 date
R.F. 166-92
21st September 1903.

---

of which should be taken in determining the lump Sum grant payable to the Inamdar. The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, Solicits instructions in the matter and suggests that the Shop rental and tree tax collected ~~in~~ for five years i.e 1898-199 - 1902-03 in the taluk concerned may be taken as the basis of calculation.

2. Mr. M. S. Narayan Rao says that the year 1902-03, a type of an ordinary year may be taken as basis while Mr. B. K. Venkatavara-daiengar reports that the "average Excise Revenue of the Inam Village during the last 5 years may be taken".

3. The Chitaldrug Deputy Commissioner has no cases of the kind in his district and Mr. Venkata Rao the late officiating Deputy Commissioner Shemoga simply proposes to

Calculate

Calculate the grant on the average of five years' rental while the late Deputy Commissioner Hassan thinks that the calculations should be made for each year.

4. Mr. Narain Rao the Deputy Commissioner Kadur, submits that the minimum revenue obtained during the last ten years may be adopted for purposes of calculation.

5. As the proprietors who used to derive revenue from Government contractors under the farming System which was in force from 1892-93 to 1896-97 are entitled to an annual lump Sum grant. I would lay down that the average of the Excise revenue (derived from toddy) of the Taluk in those years, be taken to for the reasons referred to in Rule 13.

6 I also beg to Submit that the words "Excise Revenue" are not defined in the

(2)

G.R.S. 28]

b

File No. 166-92

Serial No. —

Diary No. of letter replied to Ex. 459.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Sep. Rev)

Dated the 19 September 1904

Date of issue 19-9-04

Signed by M.A.M. Narasimha Row

READ.—

(1.) ~~Cl~~ G.O. no 2074-83 / R.T. 166-92 dy the 2/8/01 issuing rules for the settlement of Inamdars' claims to today revenue of their villages.

(2) G.O. 638-47 / R.T. 166-92 dy the 28/5/03 issuing subsidiary instructions for ~~ORDER THEREON.~~ the settlement of the claims referred to above.

(3) G.O. no 274-83 / R.T. 166-92 dy the 21/9/03 containing the amendment to Rule 13 in G.O. referred to in (1).

(4) Letter no 8769 / 349 dy 23/5/04 over

Press copy sent 19-9-04 Rel

SUBJECT

Sanctioning certain amendments to some of the rules issued in G.O. no 2074-83 / R.T. 166-92 dy 2/8/01 regarding the Inamdars rights to today revenue.

MGCPB—5,000—21-6-04

from the Excise Commr in Mysore suggesting certain alterations in Rule 13 and other rules contained in the G.O. referred to in (1).

---

order thereon :- vide R 1650-9 / S.R 166-92 dt 19th Sept 04

Govt are pleased to approve proposed by the Excise Commr of the following alterations in the rules contained in G.O.s nos (1) & (3) referred to above :—

(1) In Section I Preliminary, add the following as clause (5) —

"Village includes all lands belonging to any village except excluded inam lands, which are settled by the Inam Dept in favour of artizans, temples etc and for which separate title-deeds or deeds are issued."

(2) Add the words "from toddy" after the words "Excise Revenue" in Rules VII, 19, & 25.

(3) For Rule 13 substitute the

11 Ko(2)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial, dated 19th September 1904.

READ—

(1) G. O. No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated the 2nd August 1901, issuing rules for the settlement of the Inamdars' claims to toddy revenue of their villages.

(2) G. O. No. 638-47—R. F. 166-92, dated the 28th May 1903, issuing subsidiary instructions for the settlement of the claims referred to above.

(3) G. O. No. 274-83—R. F. 166-92, dated the 21st September 1903, containing the amendment to Rule 13 in the G. O. referred to in (1).

(4) Letter No. 8769—349, dated the 23rd May 1904, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, suggesting certain alterations in Rule 13 and other rules contained in the G. O. referred to in (1).

No. FL. 1650-9—S. R. 166-92, DATED BANGALORE, 19TH SEPTEMBER 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—Government are pleased to approve of the following alterations proposed by the Excise Commissioner in the Rules contained in G. O.'s Nos. (1) and (3) referred to above :—

(1) In Section I—Preliminary, add the following as clause (5) :—

"Village includes all lands belonging to any village except excluded Inam lands, which are settled by the Inam Department in favor of artizans, temples, etc., and for which separate title-deed or deeds are issued."

(2) Add the words "from toddy" after the words "Excise Revenue" in Rules 19 and 25.

(3) For Rule 13, substitute the following :—

"Where an Inam village is entitled to Excise revenue from toddy, but has only-toddy yielding trees, from which the proprietor used to derive revenue from Government contractors under the farming system, it should get an annual lump sum grant calculated and fixed once for all on the population of the village according to the most recent Census. As the average Government Excise revenue from toddy for the years 1892-93 to 1896-97 of the taluk in which the alienated village is situated is to the population of the whole taluk, *excluding* the population of the Inam village, so should the Excise revenue from toddy of the alienated village be in relation to the population of the village."

B. K. VENKATAVARADAIYENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Dept.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—G. S.

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 19th September 1904

No. Fl. 1650-9 / S. R. 166-92

Amendments to rules regarding the settlement of the Inamdars' claims to toddy revenue.

SBP—27-9-04—200